Porirua City Council 2021-31 Long Term Plan and three waters investment options



Julie Alexander

General Manager, Network Strategy and Planning, Wellington Water



Wellington

Today Wellington Water is presenting options on investing in Porirua City Councils three waters assets to improve performance and reduce the risk of failure

Today's presentation provides context on three waters issues, investment options to meet these challenges and seeks direction for Porirua City Councils 21-31 LTP on:

- 1. Which investment options to take for looking after existing infrastructure?
- 2. What level and pace of growth investment to include?
- 3. How many and which regional priorities to begin to address over the next 10 years?
 - Reducing Water Consumption
 - Improving Environmental Water Quality
 - Reducing Carbon Emissions

The context for three waters issues: Wellington Water UTP approach, and progress of the LTP process

Aging water assets are a national issue.

In Porirua, around 60% of three waters assets are due to be replaced in the next 30 years (based on age), and they are getting older.

This poses a steadily increasing risk to core three water services and healthy growing communities

At the same time, community expectations are increasing, and so are national standards: water regulator, freshwater management

Growth, reducing water consumption, improving water quality and climate change are all additional challenges facing three waters asset owners

Wellington

A staged process of advice and options for the 21-31 long term plan

To support engagement with Porirua City Councils communities based on a full understanding of local, regional and national issues, Wellington Water prepared a summary of the state of the three waters assets, and the work required to maintain and renew them to meet customer and regulatory standards.

This second stage today presents options for an achievable pathway to address the need to increase maintenance and get on top of overdue renewals.

It prioritises investment in existing assets, which is prudent asset management practice, and describes what increased investment would provide.

It also presents possible activities in the agreed priority area of Growth, reducing water consumption, improving water quality and climate change



International review confirms a step change is needed – but we can't do everything

The internationally recognised water industry regulator, the **Water Industry Commission for Scotland** (WICS), has reviewed all our advice to owner councils, based on experience with multiple water entities.

Wellington Water early signals investment estimate, using capex as a proxy for annual investment for the region, was that an annual regional investment of \$240 million is required, compared to \$140m in 2020.

WICS concluded a higher level of \$300M-\$350M in capex annually was required.

Wellington Water recognises that this is desirable, but not affordable – clearly councils must prioritise, especially in view of the economic impact of covid-19

Today's advice is intended to assist Porirua City Council to make choices within this context

Page 5

Priorities for three waters investment



Looking after existing infrastructure

Growth

Reducing water

Looking after existing assets is foundational to a sound risk management approach. It reduces the risk of surprises that usually cost more, and have greater negative effects, than planned work does.

Growth is inevitable and must be managed in a way that ensures it doesn't add to existing challenges for the three waters network

The other priorities are system wide issues that need addressing over the next 30 year:

- The region is near capacity for water supply
- Communities expect better environmental water quality than we have now
- Carbon emissions are a key contributor to climate change

NOTE - Individual activities associated with localised risks will still be progressed

consumption Improving environmental

water quality

Reducing carbon emissions

Investing in OPEX to maintain services and improve asset knowledge

change:

OPEX modelling shows an uplift of 32% is needed by year three. By year ten, 44% is needed.

Given the current economic environment a lower, 20% increase on current levels, achieved over three years would allow for the start of the programmes identified in the step change.

20/21 OPEX \$7.1M, Excludes 20/21 Bulk Water Levy \$4.37M Costs based on 2020 NZD and increases are indicative

Looking after existing infrastructure

Our water, our future.



9,000,000



Investing to maintain services and improve asset knowledge

Option analysis and risk assessment



	Current State OPEX investment	Step change OPEX increase over 3 years		
Characteristics	 Network levels of service trending down Renewals backlog increasing year on year Very limited planned maintenance Limited condition assessment activity Limitations in asset data and information constraining good asset management practices 	 Starts to address backlog High priority planned maintenance commences Asset data quality and asset management improvements can be made 		
Impacts and Risks	 Unplanned services interruptions increase Customer satisfaction decreases Risk of high criticality asset failure increases Greater step change for operating costs is inevitable in later years Higher levels of carbon are used 	 Manageable response times for unplanned service disruptions Customer satisfaction increases Risk of further increases in operating costs in later years remains pending increased condition understanding Asset failure risk better understood, but remains pending renewals investment 		
		RECOMMENDED APPROACH – SEE NEXT SLIDE FOR OPTIONS TO STAGE INCREASE		

Looking after existing infrastructure

Wellington

Options for staging step change opex increase over 3 years



The Renewals Backlog Challenge

This graph shows the pending investment and backlog investment for the council's water network based on the age profile of the pipes (this data only relates to pipes not pump stations and reservoirs):



Looking after existing infrastructure



Investing to meet the renewals backlog Options analysis and risk assessment



	Lower range renewals CAPEX	Step change renewals CAPEX increase		
Characteristics	 Assumes current spend plus necessary optimised projects to keep the network running, such as regulatory requirements, safe drinking water, compliance, treatment plant 	 Investment renewals in to reduce backlog plus necessary optimised projects to keep the network running 		
Impacts and Risks	 Backlog will increase further Compounding year on year decrease in service levels Inherent risk of service failure grows Increased operational response and corresponding compounding costs 	 Network reliability improves gradually over 30 years, number of service interruptions stabilises and starts to trend down Inherent risk of high criticality asset failure still exists but closes over 30 years Emissions from operations trends down 		
		RECOMMENDED APPROACH – SEE NEXT SLIDE FOR FUNDING RANGE OPTIONS		

Options for level of CAPEX funding for renewals

There is a relationship between renewal investment and operational costs.

Step change

renewals CAPEX

It is important to provide for renewals at a pace that meets the life cycle of the asset and deterioration over time to reduce the requirement for higher cost reactive renewals and prevent compounding operational costs.

Condition assessments are important to inform increased evidence-based renewals planning.

	CAPEX (\$ M)	increase \$(M)			
10 year TOTAL	40	150 (Includes \$34M for Eastern Porirua			
Drinking water	12	45			
Stormwater	1	5			
Wastewater (excl JV)	18	73			
Wastewater JV	9	27			
For project budget estimates, Wellington Water have used a 95 percentile figure. Costs are based					

Lower range

renewals

on 2020 NZD and may vary as more detailed planning is completed

Looking after existing infrastructure

PCC 10 year renewals investment profile 21-31 LTP





Wellington

Options for level of CAPEX funding for renewals

	Step change renewals CAPEX increase \$(M)
30 year TOTAL	520 - 570 (Includes \$139M for Eastern Porirua based on age profile)
Drinking water	138 - 150
Stormwater	26 - 28
Wastewater (excl JV)	300 - 330
Wastewater JV	56 - 62

Porirua City Council jointly owns the Wastewater Treatment Plant and the mains and pump stations that supply it (with WCC). The spikes are linked to large gravity and rising main renewals.

For project budget estimates, Wellington Water have used a 95 percentile figure. Costs are based on 2020 NZD and may vary as more detailed planning is completed

Looking after existing infrastructure



30 year view of identified renewal investment

Vellington

Growth is coming; but it cannot be at the expense of the environment

Planning completed to date across the city's seven growth areas has identified capacity constraints.

Significant investment will be needed, particularly in the wastewater network, to enable this growth to occur.

It is important to include funding for growth especially as development is already underway

How much growth can Porirua City fund alongside the city's other three water investment priorities?

Forecast 50% population growth over the next 30 years (10,500 new homes)

Funding	2018-28 LTP	LTP 2021-31	30 year spend				
*Capex (strategic city-wide projects included)	6.1m	\$379m (\$182m)	\$825m (\$395m)				
Note: A level of funding needs to be included as a placeholder for three water investment in Eastern Porirua until Kainga Ora funding is confirmed.							
Opex		\$12m	\$26m				
Overall Capacity 20 No Constraint Network or Stor Constraint							



Water Supply Networks at capacity now

Our water, our future.

Growth

Growth – Porirua City Council policies can help reduce its impacts



Three Waters outcomes can be achieved by improving and developing the network. There are also significant opportunities to improve long term outcomes through smart policy, including District Plan provisions, councils' policies and bylaws and Wellington Water policies, standards and guidelines.

Growth pays for growth

Sequence growth in line with existing infrastructure upgrades / renewals

Revise and refine your Development Contributions Policy to ensure existing ratepayers are not paying for the cost of new developments

Using policy levers to reduce or defer investment and achieve better environmental outcomes

Requirements for water sensitive design and green infrastructure in District Plan provisions

Requiring the repair or replacement of leaking private wastewater and water pipes

Policies and incentives to support water efficiency (i.e. codes of practice and in building consent process, optional tariffs that reward low usage, etc.)

Growth

Three system-wide issues have been agreed which Wellington meed addressing over multiple LTP cycles

Reducing water consumption

Improving environmental water quality

Reducing the amount of water we consume to enhance environmental outcomes and defer future capital expenditure in new storage.

This a year 5-10 issue. We are close to fully allocating all water supplies in the height of summer (increasing risk of loss of reliability)

Removing e-coli from our streams, rivers and harbours.

Our wastewater network leaks and overflows, polluting our natural environment. Communities are very dissatisfied with this.

This is a 20 year issue. NPSFW targets swimmable water bodies by 2040.

Reducing carbon emissions

Aiming to be net carbon zero as a country by 2050.

We are a significant emitter of carbon . While baselines are being set we are yet to make any meaningful reduction in carbon emissions.

Issues like flooding and seismic resilience will be addressed on a project by project basis

Other CAPEX Projects

There are a few additional projects which need to be included in the 21-31 LTP to either address specific, localised risks or complete projects which are already underway.

Other (incl. Flooding and Resilience), PCC 10-yr Capex and Opex



Our water, our future.

Wellington

Reducing water consumption

After a period of declining water consumption, demand is again on the rise. Regionally, we're close to full allocation of current drinking water supplies

We have high levels of leaks – but we don't have great information on where they're occurring, leaving us in a highly reactive and less efficient state of network management. More meters – any meters – will give us better information on usage.

Our policy position is to "conserve" water, not build new supplies

so we need to invest in reducing consumption and leaks.

The risk of doing too little is increased service interruptions (watering restrictions) and the cost of a new facility is brought forward

Activities

Continue with low level

education and reactive

repair



upgrade to 125ML/d

2040

Stats NZ estimate

2050

2060

Forecast ID

2070

2080

-Stats NZ High

2090

2030



650

600

550

500

450

400

350

2000

2010

Poputation suported

2020

Population (000)

Note: Opex and capex figures in this slide are additional to the numbers shown earlier in this presentation and based on 2020 NZD and knowns at time of presentation.



Community expectations supported by national standards are increasing pressure on city councils to stop urban water pollution. The target of C level water quality for urban streams will take investment in both public and private pipes.

It has taken a long time for streams to degrade and will take a long time to restore them. The risk of underinvesting in this work now is that compliance with standards or meeting community expectations will not be possible in the target timelines. Activities Continue with low level reactive repair. Possible bylaw on lateral repair

Activities

Carry out catchment by catchment investigations (roving crews) to understand causes and develop programmes

Activities Targeted, public and private pipe repairs, catchment by catchment

Improving Environmental water quality	10 year spend	30 year spend		
Сарех	\$27m	\$69m		
Орех	\$17m	\$49m		

Note: Opex and capex figures in this slide are additional to the numbers shown earlier in this presentation and based on 2020 NZD and knowns at time of presentation.





Driving down carbon emissions usually comes with reducing cost. However, there are a number of activities to undertake first, to ensure we align investment.

Activities where we can reduce carbon emissions in the three waters:

		Sludge decomposition – e	mission		
	Baseline opex-	Water Treatment Chemic	als		
Opex	based emissions	Electricity			
		Opex activities generally		Activities	
Well		Wellington Water Carbon emission management		Sludge minimisation	
				studies	
Сарех	Benchmark by	Renewals – use trenchles	stechnology		
Сарся	Dec 2022		carbon reduction in design	Ir	Activities hcrease % of trenchless
			carbon reduction in design		renewals
	New Asset	Sludge treatment facility			
Clim	ate change	10 year spend	30 year spend		
Capex		\$15m	\$15m		
Орех		\$2m	\$6m		

Note: Opex and capex figures in this slide are additional to the numbers shown earlier in this presentation and based on 2020 NZD and knowns at time of presentation.

Addressing water consumption is the top priority



The Wellington region has identified and agreed to three priorities which require a longterm, whole of system approach to address.

Reducing water consumption 2030

Progress towards this priority needs to be made in the next 3 years if the region is to defer investment in a new water source Improving environmental water quality 2040

Understanding which activities to progress to meet swimmable water quality targets starts with understanding first. Reducing carbon emissions 2050

Understanding which activities to progress to meet the Zero Carbon Act 2019 targets starts with understanding first.

Key Recommendations



Wellington Water recommends investment to look after existing infrastructure as a priority and recognising the existing economic environment, a lower level of activity for regional priorities.

Fund an additional \$1.3m OPEX over 3 years, supporting a step change increase in operational costs to look after existing infrastructure.
Fund \$150m CAPEX over 10 years for renewals to look after existing infrastructure.
Fund up to the growth investment level of \$379m CAPEX and \$12m OPEX over 10 years. A placeholder is included pending Kainga Ora funding approval. Council complements growth investments with enabling policies.
Fund \$15m OPEX and \$18m CAPEX over 10 years in activities that Reduce Water Consumption to defer investment in a new water source.
Fund \$17m OPEX and \$27m CAPEX over 10 years to Improving Environmental Water Quality gradually over time.
Fund \$2m OPEX and \$15M CAPEX over 10 years to Reduce Carbon Emissions,

Note: These recommendations exceed the proposed PCC fiscal envelope for the three waters.

Indicative outcomes for additional investment

	Reduce service interruptions	Lower risk of critical asset failure	Increase customer satisfaction	Defer future investment	Reduce water consumption	Improve environmental water quality	Reduce CO ₂ emissions
Fund \$1.3M OPEX*	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	part	part	part	part
Fund \$150m CAPEX Renewal	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	part
Fund Growth \$379m CAPEX and \$12m OPEX plus placeholder	\checkmark	<	\checkmark		<	✓	✓
Fund \$15m OPEX and \$18m CAPEX				\checkmark	✓		
Fund \$17m OPEX and \$27m CAPEX						\checkmark	
Fund \$2m OPEX and \$15M CAPEX							✓

* Three year funding increase, all others based on a 10 year view.

Our water, our future.

Wellington

later

Page 24

Direction setting



- 1. Which investment options to take for looking after existing infrastructure?
- 2. What level and pace of growth investment to include?
- How many and which regional priorities to begin to address over the next 10 years?
 Reducing Water Consumption Improving Environmental Water Quality Reducing Carbon Emissions