

Friday 23 February 2024

Kia ora

OIA IRO-586	
Name:	
Email:	@xtra.co.nz
•	

Official information request regarding wastewater pipe located at 151 Nevay Road, Miramar.

Thank you for your official information request dated Tuesday 30 January 2024.

We have considered your request in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (the Act) and determined that we are able to grant your request in part.

The information you have requested is enclosed in Appendix A of this letter.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Ngā mihi,



Head of Customer Experience

For the latest news and updates, follow us on our social channels:



f /wellingtonwater



@wgtnwaternz & @wgtnwateroutage



@wellington_water

Appendix A

Question One: Condition of the Council owned wastewater pipe running beneath the property.

Answer...

The condition grade of the pipeline is Good, with a confidence rating of D. An explanation of these grades is attached in Appendix B.

Question Two: Has there been an asset condition assessment carried out in recent years that would provide this information?

Answer...

A desktop assessment was carried out in June 2023.

Question Three: Have you got any more detail on the job raised re the sewage leak on back lawn back in the 1990's?

Answer...

No information is held on this and therefore must decline this part of your request in accordance with <u>Section 17(e)</u> of the Act.

Appendix B

There are three main scores used to indicate the relative risk of assets failing in normal everyday services - criticality, condition, and confidence. These scores are stored against the master data record in the asset register.

Criticality

Determined based on an assessment of the asset(s) failing against Wellington Water's service goals and four criticality factors. See Asset Criticality Framework for details.



Condition

Determined based on the performance of a physical, visual, desktop, or modelled condition assessment activity. Inspection techniques differ by asset class.



Condition Confidence

Determined based on the type of inspection method and extent of that inspection method. The determination may differ between asset classes.



- VLCA (1)

Very Low Critical Asset

- LCA (2)

Low Critical Asset

- MCA (3)

Moderate Critical Asset

- HCA (4)

High Critical Asset

- VHCA (5)

Very High Critical Asset

- Very Good (1)

No observable defects or deterioration.

- Good (2)

No defects evident that if worsened would result in asset failure.

- Moderate (3)

Defects evident that if worsened could result in asset failure.

- Poor (4)

Significant defects and/or serious deterioration affecting an asset's structural integrity evident.

- Very Poor (5)

If the asset has not already failed, it could fail at any time.

- Highly Reliable (A)

Data based on sound records, procedures, investigations, and analysis which is properly documented and recognised as the best method of assessment.

- Reliable (B)

Data based on sound records, procedures, investigations and analysis which is properly documented but has minor shortcomings; for example, the data is old, some documentation is missing, and reliance is placed on unconfirmed reports or some extrapolation.

- Uncertain (C)

Data based on sound records, procedures, investigations, and analysis which is incomplete or unsupported, or extrapolation from a limited sample for which grade A or B data is available.

- Very Uncertain (D)

Data based on unconfirmed verbal reports and/or cursory inspection and analysis.

- Unknown (E)

None or very little data held.